arXiv:1409.4786v1 [math.AP] 16 Sep 2014

Nonlinear Neutral Inclusions: Assemblages of Coated Ellipsoids.

Silvia Jiménez*, Bogdan Vernescu

*Dept. of Mathematics, Colgate University, 13 Oaks Drive, Hamilton, NY 13346
Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 100 Institute Road, Worcester, MA 01609*

Abstract

The problem of determining nonlinear neutral inclusions in (electrical or thermal) conductivity is considered. Neutral inclusions, inserted in a matrix containing a uniform applied electric field, do not disturb the field outside the inclusions. The well known Hashin coated sphere construction is an example of a neutral inclusion. In this paper, we consider the problem of constructing neutral inclusions from nonlinear materials. In particular, we discuss assemblages of coated ellipsoids.

Keywords: neutral inclusions; nonlinear dielectrics; p-Laplacian; confocal ellipsoids

1. Introduction

A neutral inclusion, when inserted in a matrix containing a uniform applied electric field, does not disturb the outside field. Mansfield was the first to observe that reinforced holes, "neutral holes", could be cut out of a uniformly stressed plate without disturbing the surrounding stress field in the plate Mansfield (1953).

The well known Hashin coated sphere construction Hashin (1962) is an example of a neutral coated inclusion for the conductivity problem. In

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: sjimenez@colgate.edu (Silvia Jiménez), vernescu@wpi.edu (Bogdan Vernescu)

Hashin and Shtrikman (1962a); Hashin and Shtrickman (1962b) an exact expression for the effective conductivity of the coated sphere assemblage was found, which coincides with the Maxwell Maxwell (1873) approximate formula. Thus the approximate formula is realizable and was shown to be an attainable bound for the effective conductivity of a composite, given the volume fractions of the two materials. This construction was extended to coated confocal ellipsoids in Milton (1981). Ellipsoids as neutral inclusions have been also studied in Kerker (1975). Spheres and ellipsoids are not the only possible shapes for neutral inclusions; indeed in Milton and Serkov (2001) other shapes of neutral inclusions are constructed.

The existence of neutral inclusions was also found in the case of materials with imperfect interfaces, for which the potential (or displacement) field has discontinuities across these interfaces. For these materials neutral inclusions have been studied in Lipton and Vernescu (1996), Benveniste and Miloh (1999) for the conductivity problem, in Lipton (1997a), Lipton (1997b) for highly conducting interfaces, in Lipton and Vernescu (1995), Lipton and Vernescu (1996), Ru (1998) for the elasticity problem, and for nonlinear materials in Lipton and Talbot (1999).

For other references related to neutral inclusions in composites see also Milton (2002) and Mei and Vernescu (2010) and the references therein.

We consider here nonlinear materials for which the constitutive law relating the current J to the electric field ∇u is described by a nonlinear constitutive model of the form

$$J = \sigma_1 \left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u,$$

here u is the potential, and $\sigma_1 \left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2}$ is a nonlinear conductivity. This constitutive model is used to describe the nonlinear behavior of several materials including nonlinear dielectrics Bueno (2008); Garroni et al. (2001); Garroni and Kohn (2003); Levy and Kohn (1998); Talbot and Willis (1994a); Talbot and Willis (1994b), and is also used to model thermo-rheological and electro-rheological fluids Ruzicka (2000); Antontsev and Rodrigues (2006); Berselli et al. (2008), viscous flows in glaciology Glowinski and Rappaz (2003), and also in plasticity problems Atkinson (1984); Suquet (1993); Ponte Castañeda and Suquet (1997); Ponte Castañeda and Willis (1999); Idiart (2008).

In this paper we show that even for nonlinear materials, one can construct neutral inclusions by a suitable coating with a linear material. In particular, we show that that a coated ellipsoid with core of phase 1 (nonlinear material)

surrounded by a coating of phase 2 (linear material) can be constructed as a neutral inclusion. In Jimenez (2013), we showed that coated spheres with nonlinear core and linear coating can be constructed as neutral inclusions.

Since the equations for conductivity are local equations, one could continue to add similar aligned coated ellipsoids of various sizes without disturbing the prescribed uniform applied field surrounding the inclusions. In fact, one can fill the entire space (aside from a set of measure zero) with assemblages of these aligned coated ellipsoids by adding coated ellipsoids of various sizes ranging to the infinitesimal and it is assumed that they do not overlap the boundary of the unit cell of periodicity. The ellipsoids can be of any size, but the volume fraction θ_1 (2.3) of nonlinear material is the same for all ellipsoids. While adding the coated ellipsoids, the flux of current and electrical potential at the boundary of the unit cell remains unaltered. Therefore, the effective conductivity does not change.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides the statement of the problem and the main result for an assemblage of coated ellipsoids and Section 3 provides the proofs of the statements in Section 2.

2. Assemblage of Coated Ellipsoids: Statement of the Problem.

We need to introduce ellipsoidal coordinates ρ, μ, and ν, which are defined implicitly as the solution of the set of equations Landau and Lifshitz (1984); Kellogg (1953)

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{x_1^2}{c_1^2+\rho}+\dfrac{x_2^2}{c_2^2+\rho}+\dfrac{x_3^2}{c_3^2+\rho}=1 : \text{Confocal Ellipsoids} \\ \dfrac{x_1^2}{c_1^2+\mu}+\dfrac{x_2^2}{c_2^2+\mu}+\dfrac{x_3^2}{c_3^2+\mu}=1 : \text{Hyperboloids of one sheet} \\ \dfrac{x_1^2}{c_1^2+\nu}+\dfrac{x_2^2}{c_2^2+\nu}+\dfrac{x_3^2}{c_3^2+\nu}=1 : \text{Hyperboloids of two sheets} \end{cases}$$

subject to the restrictions

$$\rho > -c_1^2 > \mu > -c_2^2 > \nu > -c_3^2,$$

where c_1, c_2, and c_3 are fixed positive constants that determine the coordinate

system, all confocal with the ellipsoid

$$\frac{x_1^2}{c_1^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{c_2^2} + \frac{x_3^2}{c_3^2} = 1.$$

One surface of each of the three families passes through each point in space, and the three surfaces are orthogonal. The equations can be solved explicitly for the Cartesian coordinates in terms of the ellipsoidal coordinates. For all permutations j, k, l of 1, 2, 3 we have

$$x_j^2 = \frac{(c_j^2 + \rho)(c_j^2 + \mu)(c_j^2 + \nu)}{(c_j^2 - c_k^2)(c_j^2 - c_l^2)}. \tag{2.1}$$

The coordinate ρ plays the role that the radius plays in spherical coordinates. Our prototype ellipsoid is defined by the region $\rho < \rho_e$ with a nonlinear core $0 < \rho < \rho_c$ and a linear coating $\rho_c < \rho < \rho_e$. Within the ellipsoid the conductivity depends only on the coordinate ρ.

We introduce the lengths

$$l_{cj} = \sqrt{c_j^2 + \rho_c}, \quad l_{ej} = \sqrt{c_j^2 + \rho_e}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{2.2}$$

which represent the semi-axis lengths of the core and exterior surfaces of the coated ellipsoid, the volume fraction

$$\theta_1 = \frac{l_{c1} l_{c2} l_{c3}}{l_{e1} l_{e2} l_{e3}}, \tag{2.3}$$

occupied by phase 1 (nonlinear material in the core) and $\theta_2 = 1 - \theta_1$, the volume fraction occupied by phase 2 (linear material in the coating).

The coated ellipsoid is embedded in a medium with isotropic conductivity tensor $\sigma_1^* \mathbf{I}$, where the value of σ_1^* needs to be chosen so that the conductivity equations have a solution with the uniform field aligned in the x_1 direction in the region exterior to the ellipsoid. Once this is done, it follows by the usual argument that σ_1^* represents the effective conductivity in the x_1 direction of the assemblage of aligned ellipsoids, each identical within a scale factor to the given prototype. We apply a linear electric field $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x} = E x_1$ at infinity, (where for simplicity $\mathbf{E} = E\mathbf{e^1}$, with $\mathbf{e^1} = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$).

Thus the problem of finding a neutral inclusion reduces to finding the

electric potential u that solves

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left(\sigma_1 \left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) = 0 \ \text{ in the core,} \\ \nabla \cdot (\sigma_2 \nabla u) = 0 \ \text{ in the coating,} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where the material conductivities are $\sigma_1 \left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2}$ in the core, and σ_2 in the coating, with $\infty > \sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > 0$, and satisfies continuity conditions of the electric potential and of the normal component of the current at the interfaces.

3. Assemblage of Coated Ellipsoids: Results

Inside the coated ellipsoid, we ask that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1 \Delta_p u = 0 & \text{for } 0 < \rho < \rho_c \\ \sigma_2 \Delta u = 0 & \text{for } \rho_c < \rho < \rho_e, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Delta_p u = \nabla \cdot (\left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ represents the p-Laplacian ($p > 1$), σ_1 and σ_2 are positive, together with the usual continuity conditions of the electric potential and of the normal component of the current across the interfaces:

$$u \text{ continuous across } \rho = \rho_c, \tag{3.2}$$

$$u = Ex_1 \text{ at } \rho = \rho_e, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\sigma_1 \left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sigma_2 \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}, \text{ across } \rho = \rho_c, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\sigma_2 \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sigma_1^* \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}, \text{ across } \rho = \rho_e. \tag{3.5}$$

We look for a solution u of (3.1) of the form

$$u = \begin{cases} A_1 x_1 \text{ for } 0 < \rho < \rho_c, \\ \varphi(\rho) x_1 \text{ for } \rho_c \leq \rho \leq \rho_e. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Since (3.6) satisfies (3.1), it is left to determine A_1 and $\varphi(\rho)$ so that u satisfies the conditions (3.2)-(3.5) at the interfaces.

Written in ellipsoidal coordinates, the conductivity equation in the coat-

ing (3.1) becomes

$$0 = \Delta u = \frac{4g(\rho)}{(\rho-\mu)(\rho-\nu)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left[g(\rho)\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\rho}\right] + \frac{4g(\mu)}{(\mu-\rho)(\mu-\nu)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\left[g(\mu)\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\mu}\right] + \frac{4g(\nu)}{(\nu-\rho)(\nu-\mu)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\left[g(\nu)\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\nu}\right], \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$g(t) = \sqrt{(c_1^2+t)(c_2^2+t)(c_3^2+t)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 3.1. Observe that $\theta_1 = \dfrac{g(\rho_c)}{g(\rho_e)}$.

Using (3.6), (3.7), and the fact that $\Delta x_1 = 0$ we obtain the following second-order differential equation for $\varphi(\rho)$

$$0 = \frac{d^2\varphi(\rho)}{d\rho^2} + \left[\frac{1}{g(\rho)}\frac{dg(\rho)}{d\rho} + \frac{1}{(c_1^2+\rho)}\right]\frac{d\varphi(\rho)}{d\rho}. \tag{3.9}$$

Solving (3.9), we obtain

$$\varphi(\rho) = A_2 + B_2\int_{\rho_c}^{\rho}\frac{1}{(c_1^2+\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}(c_2^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}(c_3^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}}d\rho. \tag{3.10}$$

In what follows, we explain how the unknowns A_1, A_2, and B_2 and σ_1^* are determined from (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5). First, we look at the conditions u must satisfy when $\rho = \rho_c$. From (3.2) we have that

$$A_1 = A_2 + B_2\int_{\rho_c}^{\rho_c}\frac{1}{(c_1^2+\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}(c_2^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}(c_3^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}}d\rho = A_2, \tag{3.11}$$

and from (3.4) and (3.11), we obtain

$$B_2 = \frac{A_1 g(\rho_c)(\sigma_1|A_1|^{p-2} - \sigma_2)}{2\sigma_2}. \tag{3.12}$$

We now look at the conditions that u must satisfy on the outer interface

$\rho = \rho_e$. From (3.3) and (3.11), we have

$$E = A_1 + B_2 \int_{\rho_c}^{\rho_e} \frac{1}{(c_1^2+\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}(c_2^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}(c_3^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\rho, \tag{3.13}$$

and from (3.5), we obtain

$$B_2 = \frac{Eg(\rho_e)(\sigma_1^* - \sigma_2)}{2\sigma_2}. \tag{3.14}$$

We now introduce the depolarization factors

$$d_{cj} = d_j(l_{c1}, l_{c2}, l_{c3}), \quad d_{ej} = d_j(l_{e1}, l_{e2}, l_{e3}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$d_j(l_1, l_2, l_3) = \frac{l_1 l_2 l_3}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(l_j^2+y)\sqrt{(l_1^2+y)(l_2^2+y)(l_3^2+y)}} \tag{3.16}$$

is the depolarization factor in direction $j = 1, 2, 3$ of an ellipsoid with semi-axis lenghts l_1, l_2, l_3. The depolarization factors always sum to unity (see Milton (2002))

$$d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = 1. \tag{3.17}$$

Also, observe that $d_j(\lambda l_1, \lambda l_2, \lambda l_3) = d_j(l_1, l_2, l_3)$ for $\lambda > 0$, which means that the depolarization factors are independent of scale.

In terms of these depolarization factors, we have

$$\int_{\rho_c}^{\rho_e} \frac{d\rho}{(c_1^2+\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}(c_2^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}(c_3^2+\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{2d_{c1}}{g(\rho_c)} - \frac{2d_{e1}}{g(\rho_e)}.$$

Rearranging (3.14), we have

$$E = \frac{2B_2\sigma_2}{g(\rho_e)(\sigma_1^* - \sigma_2)}. \tag{3.18}$$

Using (3.18) and (3.12) in (3.13), we obtain

$$\sigma_1^* = \sigma_2 + \frac{\sigma_2\theta_1(\sigma_1 |A_1|^{p-2} - \sigma_2)}{\sigma_2 + (\sigma_1 |A_1|^{p-2} - \sigma_2)[d_{c1} - \theta_1 d_{e1}]}. \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.13), we have

$$A_1 = E - \frac{2B_2}{g(\rho_c)}\left[d_{c1} - \theta_1 d_{e1}\right] = E - \frac{2B_2}{g(\rho_c)}K, \tag{3.20}$$

where $K = d_{c1} - \theta_1 d_{e1} > 0$ is independent of scale.

Using (3.20) in (3.12), we obtain the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 \left| E - \frac{2B_2}{g(\rho_c)}K \right|^{p-2} \left(E - \frac{2B_2}{g(\rho_c)}K \right) \\ - \sigma_2 \left(E - \frac{2B_2}{g(\rho_c)}K \right) - \frac{2\sigma_2 B_2}{g(\rho_c)} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

At this point, we consider the function

$$f(x) = \sigma_1 \left| E - Kx \right|^{p-2} (E - Kx) - \sigma_2 (E - Kx) - \sigma_2 x. \tag{3.22}$$

Note that we obtain B_2 if we can prove that $f(x) = 0$ has a (unique) solution. If that is the case, from (3.20) we can obtain A_1 and from (3.19) we can get an expression for σ_1^*.

Let us study $f(x)$. If $E - Kx \geq 0$, we have

$$f(x) = \sigma_1 (E - Kx)^{p-1} - \sigma_2 (E - Kx) - \sigma_2 x.$$

Taking the derivative of the $f(x)$, we have

$$f'(x) = -K\sigma_1 (p-1)(E - Kx)^{p-2} + \sigma_2 (K - 1).$$

Note that the first term of $f'(x)$ is negative and the second term is also negative because $K < 1$. To see this, note that by (3.17) and the fact that $K > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} K &< K + (d_{c2} - \theta_1 d_{e2}) + (d_{c3} - \theta_1 d_{e3}) \\ &= (d_{c1} + d_{c2} + d_{c3}) - \theta_1 (d_{e1} + d_{e2} + d_{e3}) \\ &= 1 - \theta_1 = \theta_2 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f(x)$ is a decreasing function. If $E - Kx < 0$, we have

$$f(x) = -\sigma_1 (Kx - E)^{p-1} - \sigma_2 (E - Kx) - \sigma_2 x,$$

and here

$$f'(x) = -K\sigma_1(p-1)(E-Kx)^{p-2} + \sigma_2(K-1)$$

is negative for all x so the function $f(x)$ is also decreasing in this case.

Observe that as x approaches ∞, the function $f(x)$ approaches $-\infty$ and as x approaches $-\infty$, the function $f(x)$ approaches ∞. Therefore, we conclude that the equation $f(x) = 0$ has a unique solution x_0.

Moreover, observe that the coefficients of $f(x)$ depend only on σ_1, σ_2, E, K, and p, thus

$$x_0 = \frac{2B_2}{g(\rho_c)} = C(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, E, K, p). \tag{3.23}$$

Consequently, from (3.23) and (3.20) we obtain that $A_1 = E - Kx_0$, which together with (3.19) gives

$$\sigma_1^* = \sigma_2 + \frac{\sigma_2\theta_1(\sigma_1 \left|E - [d_{c1} - \theta_1 d_{e1}]\, x_0\right|^{p-2} - \sigma_2)}{\sigma_2 + (\sigma_1 \left|E - [d_{c1} - \theta_1 d_{e1}]\, x_0\right|^{p-2} - \sigma_2)\,[d_{c1} - \theta_1 d_{e1}]}. \tag{3.24}$$

Here, we would like to emphasize that (3.24) shows that σ_1^* is independent of scale. In an analogous way, the conductivities in the x_2 and x_3 directions are obtained and given by similar expresions, also independent of scale.

Remark 3.2. If $p = 2$, (3.22) becomes

$$f(x) = \sigma_1 (E - Kx) - \sigma_2 (E - Kx) - \sigma_2 x, \tag{3.25}$$

which has a unique root $\bar{x}_0 = \dfrac{E(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)}{K(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) + \sigma_2}$. In this case σ_1^* (see (3.24)) becomes

$$\sigma_1^* = \sigma_2 + \frac{\sigma_2\theta_1(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)}{\sigma_2 + (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\,[d_{c1} - \theta_1 d_{e1}]}. \tag{3.26}$$

The conductivities in the x_2 and x_3 directions are obtain in the same manner and have similar expressions (same results as in Section 7.8 in (Milton (2002))).

Remark 3.3. If $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c$, we have a sphere. In this case, (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} l_{cj} &= r_c = \sqrt{c^2 + \rho_c} \quad \text{and} \\ l_{ej} &= r_e = \sqrt{c^2 + \rho_e}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where r_c is the radius of the core of the sphere and r_e the radius of the entire sphere (core and coating). Here, the volume fraction (2.3) becomes

$$\theta_1 = \frac{l_{c1}l_{c2}l_{c3}}{l_{e1}l_{e2}l_{e3}} = \frac{r_c^3}{r_e^3}, \text{ and } \theta_2 = 1 - \theta_1. \tag{3.28}$$

The depolarization factors (3.15) are all equal and their value is 1/3, which implies that the integral in (3.13) becomes

$$\int_{\rho_c}^{\rho_e} \frac{d\rho}{(c^2+\rho)^{\frac{5}{2}}} = \frac{2}{3} r_c^3 \theta_2.$$

Therefore we have $\sigma_1^* = \sigma_2^* = \sigma_3^* = \sigma^*$, where

$$\sigma^* = \sigma_2 + \frac{3\sigma_2\theta_1(\sigma_1 \left|E - \frac{1}{3}\theta_2 x_0\right|^{p-2} - \sigma_2)}{3\sigma_2 + \theta_2(\sigma_1 \left|E - \frac{1}{3}\theta_2 x_0\right|^{p-2} - \sigma_2)}, \tag{3.29}$$

with x_0 being the unique and scale-independent solution of

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = \sigma_1 \left|E - \frac{1}{3}\theta_2 x\right|^{p-2} \left(E - \frac{1}{3}\theta_2 x\right) \\ - \sigma_2 \left(E - \frac{1}{3}\theta_2 x\right) - \sigma_2 x. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

In this way, we recovered the results presented in (Jimenez (2013)). If $p = 2$, we have

$$\sigma^* = \sigma_2 + \frac{3\sigma_2\theta_1(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)}{3\sigma_2 + \theta_2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)},$$

which is the Hashin-Shtrikman formula.

References

S. N. Antontsev and J. F. Rodrigues. On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.*, 52, 1, 19–36, 2006.

C. Atkinson and C.R. Champion. Some boundary-value problems for the equation $\nabla \cdot (|\ \nabla\varphi\ |^N\ \nabla\varphi) = 0$. *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.*, 37, 3, 401–419, 1984.

Y. Benveniste and T. Miloh. Neutral inhomogeneities in conduction phenomena *J. Mech. and Phys. of Solids*, 47, 9,1873–1892, 1999.

P. R. Bueno, J. A. Varela, E. Longo. SnO_2, ZnO and related polycrystalline compound semiconductors: An overview and review on the voltage-dependent resistance (non-ohmic) feature. *J. European Ceramic Society*, 28, 505–529, 2008.

A. Garroni, V. Nesi and M. Ponsiglione. Dielectric breakdown: optimal bounds. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 457, 2014, 2317–2335, 2001.

A. Garroni and R.V. Kohn. Some three-dimensional problems related to dielectric breakdown and polycrystal plasticity. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 459, 2038, 2613–2625, 2003.

R. Glowinski and J. Rappaz. Approximation of a nonlinear elliptic problem arising in a non-Newtonian fluid flow model in glaciology. *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, 37, 1, 175–186, 2003.

Z. Hashin. The elastic moduli of heterogeneous materials. *Trans. ASME Ser. E. J. Appl. Mech.*, 29:143–150, 1962.

Z. Hashin and S. Shtrikman. A variational approach to the theory of the elastic behaviour of polycrystals. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 10:343–352, 1962.

Z. Hashin and S. Shtrikman. A variational approach to the theory of the elastic behaviour of polycrystals. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 10:343–352, 1962.

M. Idiart. The macroscopic behavior of power-law and ideally plastic materials with elliptical distribution of porosity. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 35, 583–588, 2008.

S. Jimenez and B. Vernescu and W. Sanguinet Nonlinear Neutral Inclusions: Assemblages of Spheres *Int. J. Solids Structures*, 50:2231–2238, 2013.

O.D. Kellogg. Foundations of Potential Theory. *Dover Publications*, New York, 1953.

M. Kerker Invisible bodies. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 65, 376–379, 1975.

L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz. Electrodynamics of Continuous Media *Course of Theoretical Physics.* Volume 8, Second Edition, Pergamon Press, 1984.

O. Levy and R.V. Kohn. Duality relations for non-Ohmic composites, with applications to behavior near percolation. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 90, 1-2, 159–189, 1998.

R. Lipton. Reciprocal relations, bounds, and size effects for composites with highly conducting interface. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 57(2):347–363, 1997.

R. Lipton. Variational methods, bounds, and size effects for composites with highly conducting interface. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 45(3):361–384, 1997.

R. Lipton and D. R. S. Talbot. The effect of the interface on the dc transport properties of non-linear composites materials. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 86(3):1480–1487, 1999.

R. Lipton and B. Vernescu. Variational methods, size effects and extremal microgeometries for elastic composites with imperfect interface. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 5(8):1139–1173, 1995.

R. Lipton and B. Vernescu. Composites with imperfect interface. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 452(1945):329–358, 1996.

R. Lipton and B. Vernescu. Two-phase elastic composites with interfacial slip. *Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik*, 76(2):597, 1996.

E. H. Mansfield, Neutral holes in plane sheet—reinforced holes which are elastically equivalent to the uncut sheet, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.*, 6, 370–378, 1953.

J. C. Maxwell, *A treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, Oxford Clarendon Press, 1873.

G. W. Milton. *Bounds on the complex permittivity of a two-component composite material Journal of Applied Physics*, 52, (8):5286-5293, 1981.

G. W. Milton. *The theory of composites*, volume 6 of *Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

G. W. Milton and S. K. Serkov. Neutral coated inclusions in conductivity and anti-plane elasticity. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 457(2012):1973–1997, 2001.

C. C. Mei and B. Vernescu. *Homogenization methods for multiscale mechanics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.

P. Ponte Castañeda and P. Suquet. Nonlinear Composties. *Advances in Applied Mechanics*, 34, 171–302, 1997.

P. Ponte Castañeda and J.R. Willis. Variational second-order estimates for nonlinear composites. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 455, 1985, 1799–1811, 1999.

C. Q. Ru. Interface design of neutral elastic inclusions. *Int. J. Solids Structures*, 35(7-8):559–572, 1998.

M. Ružička. Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

L.C. Berselli, L. Diening and M. Ružička. Existence of strong solutions for incompressible fluids with shear dependent viscosities. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 12, 1, 101–132, 2010.

P. Suquet. Overall potentials and extremal surfaces of power law or ideally plastic composites. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 41, 6, 981–1002, 1993.

D.R.S. Talbot and J.R. Willis. Upper and lower bounds for the overall properties of a nonlinear elastic composite dielectric. I. Random microgeometry. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, A, 447, 365–384, 1994.

D.R.S. Talbot and J.R. Willis. Upper and lower bounds for the overall properties of a nonlinear elastic composite dielectric. II. Periodic microgeometry. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, A, 447, 385–396, 1994.